BEFORE THE WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD

TOWN OF FLORENCE; SWVP-GTIS MR, LLC; and PULTE HOME CORPORATION,

Case No. 16-002-WQAB

Appellants,

TEMPORARY ACQUIFER PROTECTION PERMIT NO. P-106360 SIGNIFICANT AMENDMENT

ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

Respondent.

FLORENCE COPPER INC.,

Intervenor.

BOARD PROCEDURAL ORDER

On or about March 6, 2012, Curis Resources (Arizona) Inc. ("Curis") filed an application for a Temporary Individual Aquifer Protection Permit ("APP") with the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality ("Department") for a production test facility at Florence, Arizona to develop data for an APP application for a full-scale in situ leach copper/in-situ copper recovery commercial mine. Following final review, on July 13, 2013, the Department issued Temporary APP No. P-106360 Other Amendment (the "2013 Temporary APP") for the facility. On or about August 2, 2013, the Town of Florence (the "Town"), SWVP-GTIS MR, LLC ("SWVP"), Johnson Utilities, LLC ("Johnson") and Pulte Home Corporation ("Pulte") filed an Amended Notice of Appeal (the "2013 Appeal") with the Water Quality Appeals Board (the "Board") to appeal the Department's issuance of the 2013 Temporary APP to Curis. The Board referred the 2013 Appeal to the Office of Administrative Hearings ("OAH") for an evidentiary hearing. Curis subsequently became Florence Copper Inc. ("FCI"). OAH held 34 days of hearing in April and May 2014. On September 20, 2014, the Administrative Law Judge ("ALJ") issued her Decision (the "2014 ALJ Decision"). The 2014 ALJ Decision upheld most of the Temporary APP, while overturning five items in the Temporary APP identified in the following Sections of the Conclusions of Law of the 2014 ALJ Decision:

§ 3.6 (BHP Report);

2

1

5

4

7

10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19 20

26 27

25

28 29

30

§ 3.7.3.2.1 (Monitoring);§ 3.7.3.3 (Monitoring);§ 3.8 (PMA and POCs); and§ 3.9.4 (Closure Reporting).

On November 10, 2014, the Board considered the 2014 ALJ Decision. On November 14, 2014, the Board issued its Order (the "2014 Board Order") adopting all 419 Findings of Fact of the 2014 ALJ Decision, and 72 of the 73 Conclusions of Law ("COL") of the 2014 ALJ Decision, as its own, and remanding the matter to the Department for further proceedings.

On January 15, 2015, the Department instructed FCI to prepare and submit an Application for Significant Amendment to the Temporary APP. Following FCI's submission of additional materials and a public comment process, the Department on August 3, 2016 issued a Significant Amendment to the Temporary APP (the "2016 Significant Amendment"). On September 1, 2016, the Town, SVWP and Pulte filed a Notice of Appeal (the "2016 Appeal") of the Significant Amendment with the Board. FCI was subsequently granted intervention in the 2016 Appeal.

The matter came before the Arizona Water Quality Appeals Board at its meeting on December 14, 2016 for discussion of procedural matters regarding the processing of the 2016 Appeal. The Town, SWVP, the Department and FCI appeared through counsel. The Board and the parties discussed procedural matters.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Board will permit additional written testimony, limited legal arguments and may hold a hearing for the development of an additional factual record on the issues identified in the following Sections of the Conclusions of Law of the 2014 ALJ Decision:

§ 3.8 (PMA and POC), § 3.7.3.2.1 (Monitoring), and § 3.7.3.3 (Monitoring)

(collectively, the "Hearing Issues").

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than January 31, 2017, the parties shall simultaneously file sworn affidavits of qualified experts on the Hearing Issues and

setting forth the expert's qualifications. Each side shall file affidavits of no more than one expert on each of the three Hearing Issues.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 14, 2017, the parties may file sworn affidavits of qualified experts in response to the affidavits previously filed by opposing parties. Each side shall file responsive affidavits of no more than one expert on each of the three Hearing Issues.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that no later than February 14, 2017, the parties may file legal briefs of no more than 10 pages per party.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that all filings made pursuant to this Procedural Order shall be electronically submitted to the Clerk of the Board and to the other parties, and parties shall also provide three (3) paper copies of all such filings to the Clerk of the Board.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Board shall schedule a meeting no earlier than March 1, 2017, at which the Board (i) may decide the appeal without further evidence or testimony, or (ii) decide the matter after hearing from the experts providing affidavits in response to this Procedural Order who shall be made available for possible cross examination by the Board. The party sponsoring the expert may ask further questions of its experts but the questioning shall be strictly limited to clarifying any oral testimony given by the party's expert in response to questions from the Board. The Board also reserves the right to discuss and vote to request additional information, testimony, evidence or legal arguments subsequent to the hearing.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the stipulation of the parties at the Board's December 14, 2016 meeting, each of the parties have waived their rights under the applicable rules, including but not limited to A.A.C. R2-17-121 to cross-examine the experts providing affidavits on behalf of other parties, any hereby agree to the process discussed at the December 14, 2016 meeting and provided for in this Procedural Order.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that FCI shall arrange for a court reporter at the r hearing, with the costs to be shared between the Appellants, Department and FCI pursuant to their stipulation at the Board's December 14, 2016 meeting.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that pursuant to the stipulation of all parties at the Board's December 14, 2016 meeting, within 10 days of the date of this Procedural Order each party shall file with the Board a document indicating its consent to the procedure set forth herein.

DATED this $\mathcal{L}^{\mu\nu}$ day of December, 2016.

WATER QUALITY APPEALS BOARD

Scott Wakefield, C

A copy of the foregoing was mailed via electronic mail and certified U.S. Mail
Return Receipt Requested,
this ______day of December, 2016

Jeffrey D. Cantrell, Assistant Attorney General Rick Zeise, Assistant Attorney General Bradley R. Pollock, Assistant Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 1275 W. Washington Phoenix, Arizona 85007 Attorneys for Arizona Department of Environmental Quality

D. Lee Decker
Bradley J. Glass
Gallagher & Kennedy, P.A.
2575 East Camelback Road
Phoenix, Arizona 85016-9225
Attorneys for Florence Copper Inc.

1	D. Christopher Ward
2	Pulte Home Corporation 16767 North Perimeter Drive; Suite 100
3	Scottsdale, Arizona 85260
4	Attorneys for Appellant Pulte Home Corporation
5	Jorge Franco, Jr.
6	Ronnie P. Hawks Russell R. Yurk
7	Jennings, Haug & Cunningham, L.L.P. 2800 North Central Avenue; Suite 1800
8	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-1049
9	Attorneys for Appellant SWVP-GTIS MR, LLC
10	
11	Christopher Kramer Barbara U. Rodriguez-Pashkowski
12	Gust Rosenfeld P.L.C.
13	One East Washington; Suite 1600 Phoenix, Arizona 85004
14	Attorneys for the Town of Florence
15	
16	A copy of the foregoing was mailed via inter-agency mail
17	this $-\frac{1}{2}$ day of December, 2016
18	Joseph Sciarrotta, Jr.
19	Senior Counsel
20	Arizona Attorney General's Office 1275 West Washington
21	Phoenix, Arizona 85007
22	
23	Connie Castillo
24	By: Connie N. Castillo
25	Board Clerk
26	
27	
28	